

LABOUR DEPARTMENT

ORDER

The 29th July, 1983

No. ID/RTK/85-83/35134.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Satyavir Singh Dhull and the management of M/s. The Rohtak Central Co-operative Bank Ltd., Rohtak, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—*vide* Government notification No. 3864-ASO (E) Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Satyavir Singh Dhull was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

The 4th August, 1983

No. ID/YMN/200-83/40062.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ramesh Kumar and the management of M/s Yamuna Nagar General Cooperative consumers Stores Ltd., Yamuna Nagar regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3-Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ramesh Kumar was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/HSR/61-83/40058.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Jagdish Puri and the management of M/s Cotton Corporation of India Ltd. Sirsa regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the power conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947,—*vide* Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)-Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Jagdish Puri was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/HSR/39-83/40074.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Phool Singh and the management of M/s Ramesh Oil and General Mill, Sirsa, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—*vide* Government notification No. 3864-ASO (E) Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970, read with Government Notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Phool Singh was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

V. S. CHAUDHRI,

Deputy Secretary to Government, Haryana,
Labour Department.